1. 

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} & =\mathbf{i} \int \frac{k_{e} d q}{r^{2}}  \tag{1}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0} x d x}{L\left(x_{0}-x\right)^{2}}  \tag{2}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L} \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right) d x}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{x_{0} d x}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L}\left[\ln \left(\frac{x_{0}-L}{x_{0}+L}\right)+\frac{x_{0}}{x_{0}-L}-\frac{x_{0}}{x_{0}+L}\right]  \tag{4}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L}\left[\ln \left(\frac{x_{0}-L}{x_{0}+L}\right)+\frac{2 x_{0} L}{x_{0}^{2}-L^{2}}\right] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the field for $x_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, we first want to rewrite this result in terms of the small parameter $\frac{L}{x_{0}}$. Doing so yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L}\left[\ln \left(\frac{1-\frac{L}{x_{0}}}{1+\frac{L}{x_{0}}}\right)+\frac{2 \frac{L}{x_{0}}}{1-\left(\frac{L}{x_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we perform a Taylor expansion in terms of $\frac{L}{x_{0}}$ about the point $\frac{L}{x_{0}}=0$. For the logarithm term, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{1-\frac{L}{x_{0}}}{1+\frac{L}{x_{0}}}\right) \approx 0-\frac{2 L}{x_{0}}-\frac{2 L^{3}}{3 x_{0}^{3}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the second term

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 x_{0} L}{x_{0}^{2}-L^{2}} & =2 \frac{L}{x_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{L}{x_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{8}\\
& =2 \frac{L}{x_{0}}\left[1+\left(\frac{L}{x_{0}}\right)^{3}\right]  \tag{9}\\
& =\frac{2 L}{x_{0}}+\frac{2 L^{3}}{x_{0}^{3}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting this all together, we arrive at a final approximation for $\mathbf{E}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} & =\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L}\left(-\frac{2 L}{x_{0}}-\frac{2 L^{3}}{3 x_{0}^{3}}+\frac{2 L}{x_{0}}+\frac{2 L^{3}}{x_{0}^{3}}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \frac{k_{e} \lambda_{0}}{L} \frac{4 L^{3}}{3 x_{0}^{3}}  \tag{12}\\
& =\mathbf{i} \frac{\lambda_{0} L^{2}}{3 \pi \epsilon_{0} x_{0}^{3}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

as desired. Comparing this to the expression for a dipole field aligned with the axis of a dipole, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{i} \frac{2 p}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} x_{0}^{3}} & =\mathbf{i} \frac{\lambda_{0} L^{2}}{3 \pi \epsilon_{0} x_{0}^{3}}  \tag{14}\\
p & =\frac{2 \lambda_{0} L^{2}}{3} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}  \tag{16}\\
& =-p E \sin \theta \mathbf{k}  \tag{17}\\
& =\mathbf{k}\left(10^{-29}\right)(0.5) \sin \frac{\pi}{6} N \cdot m  \tag{18}\\
& =\mathbf{k} 2.5 \times 10^{-30} N \cdot m \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the work done, we use

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\text {done }} & =-W_{e}=\Delta U  \tag{20}\\
& =U(\pi)-U\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& =-p E \cos \pi+p E \cos \frac{\pi}{6}  \tag{22}\\
& =p E\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& =5\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \times 10^{-30} N \cdot m \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, for the frequency of small oscillations, we use Newton's second law

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =\frac{d L}{d t}  \tag{25}\\
-p E \sin \theta & =I \ddot{\theta} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

expanding $\sin \theta$ for small $\theta$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{p E}{I} \theta & =\ddot{\theta}  \tag{28}\\
\omega^{2} & =\frac{p E}{I} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging in the numbers, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =m\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}+m\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{31}\\
& =\frac{m d^{2}}{2}  \tag{32}\\
& =5 \times 10^{-48} \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =\sqrt{\frac{p E}{I}}  \tag{34}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{5 \times 10^{-30}}{5 \times 10^{-48}}} \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}}  \tag{35}\\
& =10^{9} \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

3. By spherical symmetry, we know automatically that the electric field everywhere will be purely in the radial direction. Using this fact, we can apply Gauss' law by finding the flux through a sphere of radius $r$ centered about the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{A}=4 \pi r^{2} E_{r}=\frac{Q_{\text {enclosed }}}{\epsilon_{0}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r<a$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\text {enclosed }} & =-\frac{\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3} Q}{\frac{4}{3} \pi a^{3}}  \tag{38}\\
& =-Q \frac{r^{3}}{a^{3}} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r<a)=-\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{k_{e} Q r}{a^{3}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a \leq r<b$, we have that $Q_{\text {enclosed }}=-Q$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(a \leq r<b)=-\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{k_{e} Q}{r^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b \leq r<c$, the field must be zero since this describes the interior of a conductor, meaning that a charge of $+Q$ must reside on the interior surface of the conducting shell. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(b \leq r<c)=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $r \geq c$, it must be that $Q_{\text {enclosed }}=+Q$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r \geq c)=\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{k_{e} Q}{r^{2}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below is a sketch showing where the charges reside, and some field lines.

4. Exploiting the cylindrical symmetry of the problem tells us that the field directed radially (i.e. in the $\mathbf{e}_{r}$ direction) away from the axis of the cylinders, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi r L E_{r}=\frac{Q_{\text {enclosed }}}{\epsilon_{0}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the length of our cylindrical Gaussian surface.
Thus, since the cylinders are hollow, we know that there is no charge enclosed for $r<a$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r<a)=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a \leq r<b$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {enclosed }}=\lambda L \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(a \leq r<b)=\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{2 k_{e} \lambda}{r} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly, for $r \geq b$, we have that $Q_{\text {enclosed }}=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r \geq b)=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the surface charge density $\sigma$ on the inner cylinder, we note that we can express the total charge on a length $L$ of the cylinder as either

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=2 \pi a L \sigma \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

or as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\lambda L \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating these two expressions, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi a} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can substitute this result into our expression for the field between the cylinder to find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}(a<r<b) & =\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{4 \pi k_{e} a \sigma}{r}  \tag{52}\\
& =\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{a \sigma}{\epsilon_{0} r} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

For $b-a \ll a$, we have that between the cylinders $r-a \ll a$, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}(a<r<b) & =\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{a \sigma}{\epsilon_{0} r}  \tag{54}\\
& =\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{a \sigma}{\epsilon_{0}(a+r-a)}  \tag{55}\\
& \approx \mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_{0}} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

This is equal in magnitude to the field of a parallel plate capacitor of the same charge density. Furthermore, on a very small scale $\mathbf{e}_{r}$ does not vary significantly with the polar angle, and thus may be approximated as a cartesian unit vector. Thus, this setup locally approximates a parallel plate capacitor
5. By Gauss' law,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{e}=\frac{1 C}{\epsilon_{0}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by the symmetry of the cube, we must have that the flux through one of the faces is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{e}=\frac{1 C}{6 \epsilon_{0}}=1.88 \times 10^{10} \frac{N \cdot m^{2}}{C} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. For $r<R$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\text {enclosed }} & =\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \rho(r) r^{2} \sin \theta d \phi d \theta d \rho  \tag{59}\\
& =4 \pi A \int_{0}^{r} r^{4} d r  \tag{60}\\
& =\frac{4 \pi A}{5} r^{5} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating this same expression at $r=R$ gives that the total charge $Q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{4 \pi A}{5} R^{5} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus for $r<R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {enclosed }}=Q \frac{r^{5}}{R^{5}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Gauss' law tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r<R)=\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{k_{e} Q r^{3}}{R^{5}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r>R$, we have that $Q_{\text {enclosed }}=Q$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(r \geq R)=\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{k_{e} Q}{r^{2}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. By the Pythagorean theorem, the radius of each disc as a function of $z$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=\sqrt{R^{2}-z^{2}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the area $A$ of each disc is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\pi\left(R^{2}-z^{2}\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volume of the sphere is then given by integrating over all discs contained in the sphere, i.e. from $z=-R$ to $z=R$. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\pi \int_{-R}^{R}\left(R^{2}-z^{2}\right) d z  \tag{68}\\
& =\pi\left(2 R^{3}-\frac{2 R^{3}}{3}\right)  \tag{69}\\
& =\frac{4}{3} \pi R^{3} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

as desired
8. Knowing that Gauss' law follows from Coulomb's law, we can define an analogue of Gauss's law for the gravitational field G. Examining the form of both Newton's and Coulomb's law, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}=-\frac{G M}{r^{2}} \mathbf{e}_{r} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} r^{2}} \mathbf{e}_{r} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ are the forces on a unit charge (unit mass) due to charge $q$ (mass $M$ ). By comparison, we see that $M$ plays the same roll as $q$, and likewise $-G$ plays the same roll as $\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}}$. From this we arrive at Gauss's law for gravitation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathbf{G} \cdot d \mathbf{A}=-4 \pi G M_{\text {enclosed }} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

9. Using Gauss' law, we have that for $r=.5 m, Q_{\text {enclosed }}=1 \mu C$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\hat{r} \frac{k_{e}(1 \mu C)}{(.5 m)^{2}}=3.6 \times 10^{4} \frac{N}{C} \mathbf{e}_{r} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for $r=2 m, Q_{\text {enclosed }}=-1 \mu C$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=-\hat{r} \frac{k_{e}(1 \mu C)}{(2 m)^{2}}=-2.2 \times 10^{3} \frac{N}{C} \mathbf{e}_{r} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

10. We can examine this situation as a solid sphere of uniform charge density $\rho$ and radius $R$ superimposed with a solid sphere of uniform charge density $-\rho$ with radius $\frac{R}{2}$. Let $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ denote the vector from the center of the larger sphere to a point within the smaller sphere, and let $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ denote the vector from the center of the smaller sphere to that same point.

First, we use Gauss' law to find the field $\mathbf{E}_{+}$due to the larger sphere. At a distance $r_{1}$, we have that the charge enclosed is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {enclosed }}=\frac{4}{3} \pi r_{1}^{3} \rho \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the field $\mathbf{E}_{+}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}_{+}=\frac{\rho r_{1}}{3 \epsilon_{0}} \mathbf{e}_{r_{1}}=\frac{\rho}{3 \epsilon_{0}} \mathbf{r}_{1} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the field $E_{-}$due to the smaller, negatively charged sphere, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}_{-}=-\frac{\rho r_{2}}{3 \epsilon_{0}} \mathbf{e}_{r_{2}}=-\frac{\rho}{3 \epsilon_{0}} \mathbf{r}_{2} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing together these two contributions to find the total field in the cavity, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_{+}+\mathbf{E}_{-}=\frac{\rho}{3 \epsilon_{0}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from the figure, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}=\frac{R}{2} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=\frac{\rho R}{6 \epsilon_{0}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes a uniform field in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction

